

<b>COMMITTEE</b>	<b>PLANNING COMMITTEE</b>
<b>DATE</b>	<b>February</b>
<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE OF THE PLANNING SERVICE FOR 3<sup>rd</sup> QUARTER (Oct - Dec) OF 2014-15</b>
<b>REPORT OF</b>	<b>Leigh Palmer Senior Specialist Advisor (Planning)</b>

---

<b>WARDS</b>	<b>All</b>
<b>PURPOSE</b>	<b>This report provides a summary of performance in relation to key areas of the Development Management Services for the relevant period</b>
<b>CONTACT</b>	<b>Leigh Palmer</b> <a href="mailto:Leigh.palmer@eastbourne.gov.uk">Leigh.palmer@eastbourne.gov.uk</a> <b>01323 415 215</b>

**RECOMMENDATION**    **That Members note the content of this report**

---

## **1 Background**

Members will be aware that together we deal with a whole host of planning applications covering a range of differing forms of development.

Given the many varied types of planning application received Central Government require that all Councils report the performance in a consistent and coherent manner. To this end and for reasons the many varied applications are clumped together into three broad categories Major, Minor and Others.

In broad terms the types of application falling into these categories are outline below.

<b>MAJOR DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>MINOR DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>OTHER DEVELOPMENT</b>
10+ Dwellings / Greater .5Ha	1-9 Dwellings/ greater .5Ha	Householder applications
Office/light industrial greater 1000sqm/ 1Ha	Office /light industrial up to 999sqm under 1Ha	Change of use
General industrial greater 1000sqm / 1Ha	General Industrial up to 999sqm under 1 Ha	Adverts
Retail greater 1000sqm / 1Ha	Retail up to 999sqm under 1 Ha	Listed Building
Gypsy & Traveller 10+ Pitches	Gypsy & Traveller 0-9 Pitches	Conservation Area Applications
		Certificates of Lawfulness
		Notifications

In analysing the performance for the processing of these differing types of application the Government do allow 13 weeks for the processing major applications and 8 weeks for processing the Minor and Other categories.

The figures below give the development control performance figures against these categories and for the calendar year 2013 and the first three quarters of 2014/15.

In addition this report also includes information about the recent appeal decisions and Members should note that any decision made to refuse an application opens the potential for an appeal by the applicant to the Planning Inspectorate.

As Members will be aware the majority of the applications received are granted planning permission, however for those that are refused and challenged through to an appeal it is considered important to analyse the appeal decisions in order to determine and evaluate whether lessons need to be learnt, or interpretations need to be given different weight at the decision making stage.

In addition the evaluation of the appeal decisions will also go some way to indicate the robustness and the correct application of the current and emerging policy context at both a local and national level.

## **2 Special Measures**

Members will be aware that along with all Councils our performance has to be reported to Central Government and where authorities are deemed to be underperforming then they will be placed in 'special measures'.

As from June 2014 the Government have imposed two criteria against which Councils will be assessed, these are:-

- Where Councils have received more than 10 major applications over a rolling two year period then no more than 40% should take longer than 13 weeks to deal with.
- Where Councils have received more than 10 major applications over a rolling two year period 20% of decisions on major applications are overturned at appeal.

Members will note therefore that it is important to keep abreast of all decisions with regard to maintaining performance above the 'special measure' thresholds

## **2 All Decisions**

It is clear therefore that with the regular (quarterly) reporting of this this

report to Planning Committee issues, trends and pressures could readily be identified. The figures in Tables 1-3 below include the data from the Government return (currently excludes 'Notifications and Certificates of Lawful development.)

TABLE 1

2013 Whole Year	TYPE	NUMBER
	All determined	574
	Delegated	510 (89%)
	Granted	521 (91%)
	Refused	49 (9%)
2014 Q1 (Apr – Jun)	All determined	166
	Delegated	143 (86%)
	Granted	156 (94%)
	Refused	10 (6%)
2014 Q2 (Jul - Sep)	All determined	146
	Delegated	125 (86%)
	Granted	129 (88%)
	Refused	17 (12%)
2014 Q3 (Oct - Dec)	All determined	137
	Delegated	121 (88%)
	Granted	128 (93%)
	Refused	9 (7%)
2014 Q4 (Jan - Mar)		

TABLE 2

2014 All Q's Running Total	TYPE	NUMBER
	All determined	449
	Delegated	389 (87%)
	Granted	413 (92%)
	Refused	36 (8%)

It is clear from the tables above that the volume of the cases determined during Q1 – Q3 (Table 2 above) have percentage levels consistent with the whole year (2103) percentage.

It is considered that in granting planning permission for 92% of all

applications received that the planning services of Eastbourne Borough Council have supported/stimulated the local economy and also helped to meet the aspirations of the applicants and only where there are substantive material planning considerations is an application refused.

The table below highlights the speed of decision against the three Government categories (Major Minor and Other).

It is clear from the table below that the team are performing over the National PI threshold and that there are, at this time, no special measure issues.

**TABLE 3**

TITLE	Q1 (Apr – Jun) 14/15 %	Q2 (Jul – Sep) 14/15 %	Q3 (Oct – Dec) 14/15 %	Q4 (Jan – Mar) 14/15 %	Year in total (Rolling Performance)	TARGET PI %	SPECIAL MEASURES PI
MAJOR	50 (4/2)	57 (7/4)	60 (5/3)		56	60	20
MINOR	76 (63/48)	79 (47/37)	84 (43/36)		79	65	0
OTHER	87 (99/87)	86 (98/84)	92 (89/82)		89	80	0

#### PRE-APPLICATION ADVICE

In addition to the formal applications received the Council offer a free pre application advice service. The table below indicates the numbers of pre-application enquiries received by the Council for the year.

NAME	NUMBER
PRE APP	53
PRE APP HOUSEHOLDER	123
PRE APP MEDIUM	107
PRE APP MAJOR	17
TOTAL	300

This information is considered to be relevant given that it is a barometer as to the workload of the team and members should note that our returns to central government are based a pre-described application categories and they do not necessary highlight the volume of work going through the Planning section of the Council.

Appendix No1 includes further application data by ward.

## 4 Refusals

Members requested further information on the number and break down of the refusal issued for the calendar year 2014. This information is highlighted within tables 4&5 below.

Member should be aware that in common with other years we refuse fewer than 10% of the applications received.

**TABLE 3**  
**REFUSALS BY WARD**

DV Devonshire	9
HP Hampden Park	4
MD Meads	15
OT Old Town	5
RN Ratton	9
SA St Anthonys	4
SV Sovereign	4
UP Upperton	9
(blank)	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>59</b>

## TABLES 4&5

### REFUSAL BY DECISION LEVEL

### COMMITTEE

130976	CCC Planning Committee	Display of Freestanding Totem signs, Post and Panel signs and Digital	MD Meads	3 Old Wish Road
140108	CCC Planning Committee	Two storey extension to form self-contained unit adjoining the	UP Upperton	60 Watts Lane
140119	CCC Planning Committee	Outline application (For Access, Appearance, Layout and Scale) for	DV Devonshire	Firle Road & Beltring Terrace
140177	CCC Planning Committee	Proposed single storey rear extension, together with an increase in	DV Devonshire	32-34 Eshton Road
140420	CCC Planning Committee	Proposed loft conversion, including hip to gable roof enlargement with	OT Old Town	12 Manvers Road
140425	CCC Planning Committee	Proposed loft conversion, including hip to gable roof enlargement with	OT Old Town	14 Manvers Road
140451	CCC Planning Committee	Proposed 2-storey extension to provide 10 additional residents	HP Hampden Park	9-9 Nevill Avenue
140682	CCC Planning Committee	Change of Use from a Bed & Breakfast (Class C1) to a House for	DV Devonshire	10 Rylstone Road
140740	CCC Planning Committee	Erection of a two storey, two bedroom attached dwelling.	SA St Anthonys	3 Churchdale Place
140781	CCC Planning Committee	Erection of two detached single storey dwellings.	DV Devonshire	Eshton Road
141168	CCC Planning Committee	Change of use of public amenity land to private garden within the	SV Sovereign	2 Vancouver Road
141167	CCC Planning Committee	Two storey side & rear extension to house, recessed from	RN Ratton	17 Ratton Drive

## DELEGATED

141064	DDD Delegated List	Full width single-storey flat-roof extension to north-east elevation,	SV Sovereign	8 Lundy Walk
131011	DDD Delegated List	Erection of steel framed balcony with timber floor, balusters and	DV Devonshire	119 Royal Parade
140040	DDD Delegated List	Proposed ground floor extension	RN Ratton	2 Warburton Close
140167	DDD Delegated List	Replacement windows, to replace the existing timber windows with	MD Meads	3 Grange Gardens
140306	DDD Delegated List	Display of 1 x externally illuminated totem sign on grass verge (App	RN Ratton	Lindfield Road
140308	DDD Delegated List	Single storey plan roof extension	HP Hampden Park	9 Wilton Avenue
140355	DDD Delegated List	Proposed loft conversion to rear with mansard roof	UP Upperton	71 Greys Road
140497	DDD Delegated List	Provision of internal stairs and rooflight to provide access on to	UP Upperton	26 Upperton Road
140559	DDD Delegated List	Proposed new end of terrace two storey dwelling to extend the existing	SA St Anthonys	29 Filder Close
140568	DDD Delegated List	Erection of first floor addition to rear and side (North West)	RN Ratton	8 Lodge Avenue
140570	DDD Delegated List	Construction of 2no. dormers, to the front and rear of the property,	RN Ratton	3 Eridge Road
140626	DDD Delegated List	Replacement windows to basement flat.	UP Upperton	9 Upperton Gardens
140628	DDD Delegated List	Alterations to existing garage block to form new pitched roof to	MD Meads	2 Silverdale Road
140640	DDD Delegated List	Construction of a ramp and bridge to access the first floor of the	UP Upperton	Station Parade
140649	DDD Delegated List	Erection of balcony to the rear elevation at first floor level.	OT Old Town	5 Peppercombe Road
140665	DDD Delegated List	Proposed change of use of ground floor shop unit to 2no.	DV Devonshire	118-120 Seaside
140680	DDD Delegated List	Two-storey side extension to form an additional 3no. self-contained	MD Meads	13 College Road
140748	DDD Delegated List	Retrospective application for permission to erect decking at rear on	DV Devonshire	87 Longstone Road
140784	DDD Delegated List	Erection of dormers to side and rear, with 3 rooflights, to create	RN Ratton	230 Willingdon Road
140788	DDD Delegated List	Creation of a driveway for one car and crossover from public highway	MD Meads	10 Blackwater Road
140813	DDD Delegated List	Demolition of existing conservatory and replacement with proposed	DV Devonshire	18 Cavendish Avenue
140810	DDD Delegated List	Replacement of one timber-framed window, to front, with PVC double	MD Meads	15 Darley Road
140839	DDD Delegated List	Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear	HP Hampden Park	7 Wilton Avenue
140867	DDD Delegated List	Display of one painted wooden sign illuminated by Existing LED	MD Meads	89 Meads Street
140845	DDD Delegated List	Proposed two storey extension to existing side elevation to form	UP Upperton	9 Moat Croft Road
140869	DDD Delegated List	Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear	OT Old Town	13 Greenfield Road
140948	DDD Delegated List	Application for approval of details reserved by conditions 7	UP Upperton	Kings Drive
140992	DDD Delegated List	Demolition of existing conservatory and replacement with proposed	DV Devonshire	18 Cavendish Avenue
140991	DDD Delegated List	3m DEEP SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION WITH LEAN-TO TYPE ROOF AND 3m	SV Sovereign	8 Admiralty Crescent
141025	DDD Delegated List	Single storey extension at side and change of use from garage to	UP Upperton	4 Enys Road
141029	DDD Delegated List	Alterations to front to provide new access to lower ground floor flat	MD Meads	10A Hyde Gardens
141038	DDD Delegated List	Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear	SV Sovereign	73 Princes Road
141091	DDD Delegated List	Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear	RN Ratton	64 Selmeston Road
141134	DDD Delegated List	Proposed change of use from Class A1 (retail) to Class A3	HP Hampden Park	37 Mountfield Road
141201	DDD Delegated List	Proposed single storey rear ground floors extension and conversation	SA St Anthonys	20 Boswell Walk
141226	DDD Delegated List	Rear ground-floor extension with raised decking and steps to ground-	SA St Anthonys	68 St Philips Avenue
141235	DDD Delegated List	Rear extension at ground floor level, above existing lower ground	UP Upperton	12 Carew Road
141400	DDD Delegated List	Single storey extension to the front.	OT Old Town	15 Gorse Close

## 5 Appeals

As commented above all applications that are refused have to the potential to be appealed by the applicant. The Council for the calendar year 2014 have received 14 appeals; some of these appeals have not yet been decided but all appeals received are reported below.

Appeals received by ward/count & Appeal by development type/application

TABLE 6&7

DV Devonshire	3
HP Hampden Park	1
MD Meads	3
RN Ratton	2
UP Upperton	5
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14</b>

TABLE 8

ADV Advertisement	1
HHH Householder	3
OSR Outline (some reserved)	1
PAP Prior Approval	1
PCJ Prior Notification Class J	1
PPP Planning Permission	6
RMT Reserved Matters	1
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>14</b>

## APPEAL SUMMARIES

<b>ADV Advertisement</b>
<b>140867</b>
The Pilot, 89 Meads Street, Eastbourne
Display of one painted wooden sign illuminated by Existing LED Dec Level Delegated
Officer Recommendation Refuse
Appeal decision Appeal decision expected
<b>HHH Householder</b>
<b>131058</b>
19 Sydney Road, Eastbourne
Retrospective application for the installation of decking and guardrails to flat roof at rear, with removal of bedroom window, to be replaced with access door to decking.

Dec Level Committee
Officer Recommendation Refuse
Appeal decision Appeal decision dismissed Significant detrimental impact upon residential amenity
<b>140108</b>
60 Watts Lane, Eastbourne
Two storey extension to form self-contained unit adjoining the existing detached private house, with internal linking access doors.
Officer Recommendation Approve
Dec level Committee
Appeal decision Appeal decision expected
<b>140748</b>
87 Longstone Road
Retrospective application for permission to erect decking at rear on existing flat roof.
Officer Recommendation Refuse
Dec Level Delegated
Appeal decision Appeal decision expected
<b>OSR Outline</b>
<b>140119</b>
Corner of Firle Road and Beltring Terrace
Outline application (For Access, Appearance, Layout and Scale) for demolition of house and garage at 60 Firle Road and garage at 13 Beltring Terrace and the erection of 4 no.1 bedroom apartments and 1 no.2 bedroom house (with Landscaping reserved).
Officer Recommendation: Refuse
Dec Level Planning Committee
Appeal decision Appeal decision expected
<b>PAP Prior Approval</b>
<b>141091</b>
64 Selmeston Road
Proposed single storey extension which would extend beyond the rear wall of the existing house by 8 metres for which the maximum height for the overall extension would be 3 metres.



Officer Recommendation: Refuse
Dec Level: Delegated
Appeal decision Appeal Allowed ` Would not have an overbearing impact upon the occupiers of the adjoining properties`.
<b>PCJ Prior Notification Class J</b>
<b>131057</b>
Test Record
<b>PPP Planning Permission</b>
<b>130175</b>
1-6 The Courtyard Wharf Road
Variation of condition 4 of permission EB/1999/0124 to permit the installation of gates across the entrance to the courtyard.
Officer recommendation: Approve
Dec Level: Planning Committee
Appeal decision: Appeal Dismissed ` scheme would not harmonize with the local environment and would not respect its distinctiveness`
<b>130329</b>
25 Gordon Lodge Twinn Language School
Construction of a three storey extension at rear and conversion of the existing building to provide 11 no. residential flats together with landscaping, waste and cycle storage, including the removal of the existing rear external escape gantry and single storey lower ground side addition.
Officer recommendation: Approve
Decision Level Committee
Appeal Decision: Dismissed ` No S106 to cover the deliver of affordable housing`
<b>130424</b>
2-3 Beverley Court
Replacement of Single-Glazed Wooden Framed Windows and Exterior Doors with New Double-Glazed PVCu Framed Windows and Doors.
Officer Recommendation: Refused
Decision Level: Delegated
Appeal Decision:- Allowed `good design and the energy efficiency of the windows would outweigh the modest harm to the character of the Conservation Area.

<b>130786</b>
15 Hartfield Road
Erection of 1 No. 3 bed detached chalet bungalow.
Officer Recommendation:- Refused
Decision Level: Delegated
Appeal Decision: - Dismissed 'Overlooking would impact upon the living conditions of the future occupiers of the unit; development would neither preserve nor enhance the character of the Conservation Area.
<b>140167</b>
Flat 4, 3 Grange Gardens, Eastbourne
Replacement windows, to replace the existing timber windows with matching upvc windows.
Dec Level Delegated
Officer Recommendation Refuse
Appeal decision Dismissed replacement windows in this instance would be harmful to the character and appearance of this building within the conservation area.
<b>140451</b>
Inglewood Nursing Home, 9-9 Neville Avenue, Eastbourne
Proposed 2-storey extension to provide 10 additional residents
Dec Level Committee
Officer Recommendation Approve
Appeal decision Appeal Dismissed ' Development failed to show that extension was subservient and was intrusive into the street scene'
<b>RMT Reserved Matters</b>
<b>130468</b>
Land East Of Kings Drive, Kings Drive, Eastbourne
Application for approval of reserved matters (Details of the Dec Level Committee
Officer Recommendation Approve
Appeal Decision Withdrawn

Appeal Analysis  
TABLE 9

	Approve – Member Overturn Allowed	Approve – Member Overturn Dismissed	Refuse – Member Support Refusal Allowed	Refuse – Member Support Refusal Dismissed
2013	7 (28%)	4 (16%)	2 (8%)	12 (48%)
2014	N/A	3 (38%)	2(25%)	3 (38%)
2015				
2016				
2017				

Appeal Analysis Table 10

Year	Special Measures PI (%)	No of Majors Overturned at Appeal (number and %)
2014	20	1 (100%)
2015	N/A	N/A
2016	N/A	N/A
2017	N/A	N/A
2018	N/A	N/A

The above table 9 identifies the relevant decision permutations and it is acknowledged that the appeal volume is reducing when compared to the proviso year. There may be a number of reasons for this; it could be applicants benefiting from the free reapplication advice and thereby improving the quality of the schemes that are being submitted; it could also be the Governments introduction of the 'larger residential extension' scheme that allows for homeowners to extended greater depth than would formerly be allowed without the need for a planning application. The appeal rate/volume will continue to be monitored going forward.

It is accepted that Eastbourne due to the nature and type of the borough statistically receive few major applications and as such we may not get above the commencement survey threshold of more than 10 applications. Notwithstanding this it is considered important to review and analyse all appeal decisions across all application types as an indicator that we have applied a sound planning judgement at both delegated and planning committee level.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 1

**Officer recommendation for approval – Member overturned – Appeal Allowed (Officers right Members were wrong)** It is important to keep a watching brief on this column as this is often the scenario where costs are awarded against the Council. Notwithstanding this at the time of reporting it is acknowledged that the % of cases in this column has fallen significantly compared to the previous year.

It is accepted that at times there are differences of opinion between officers and Members however for the appeal decision received to date there have been no instances this year where this scenario has occurred.

In some way this could be an indication that all parties are aligned in their thinking and are consistent with established policy and National Advice.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 2

**Officer recommendation for approval – member overturned – appeal dismissed (Officers were wrong and Members were right)** This is also a category where appeal costs can be awarded. This shows that officers are not always right, but the volume of cases in the category is modest.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 3

**Officer recommendation for refusal – Member support for refusal (committee or delegated) – Appeal allowed – Officers and Member were wrong.** This shows that officer and Members are in tune but the officers have been over zealous with their recommendation and it has not been supported by the planning Inspectorate. The volume in this category remains low but again this needs to be monitored as it is an indication that Officers may not follow planning policy/advice and skewing recommendations following neighbour concerns or trying to second guess the outcome of planning committee.

In essence it is important that officers do not shy away from making difficult recommendations if the recommendation is in accordance with national and local advice/policies.

Appeal Analysis Table 9 Column 4

**Officer recommendation for refusal – Member support for recommendation (committee or delegated decisions) – appeal dismissed (officers and Members were right).** This column shows when Officers and Members are in tune and supported by the Planning Inspectorate. The Higher the % the better, Members will note that this category is usually by far the largest.

## **Appeal Costs**

As members will be aware the appeal process can award costs of the appeal to any party where the counter party has acted in an unreasonable manner. During the survey period the Council received two awards of costs:-

No issues to report.

## **Appeal Analysis Table 10**

As commented earlier Eastbourne may not trigger the special measures threshold for Government intervention due to the number of major applications received. Notwithstanding this for the first nine months of 2014 Eastbourne has had 1 major application going through to an appeal decision and this was overturned resulting in 100% of cases being overturned.

## **Planning Enforcement**

As outlined in the Planning Enforcement Policy Statement previously reported to committee regular reporting of the enforcement function to Planning Committee is considered important as keeps members aware of the cases and issues that are live in their area and would it assists in:-

- Tackling breaches in planning control which would otherwise have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of the area;
- Maintaining the integrity of the decision-making process;
- Helping to ensure that the public acceptance of the decision making process is maintained.

As it has been a significant period since we last reported the planning enforcement function the table below provide a position statement of the performance for the entire year to date. Going forward it is the intention to provide the statistics on a quarterly basis with an annual review.

Members please note that going forward the data will become more robust as we have greater data to analyse. In addition members will also note that some of the information fields are blank; this is work in progress and going forward the number of blank fields should reduce.

The planning enforcement function has only been operating with the new Civica back office product for a number of months, prior to this the enforcement data was held in a stand alone 'Access' database where there was limited potential for the interrogation of the data.

Members will note some of the data places high volumes in the Devonshire ward, this reflects the focus given with/by the Difficult Property Group

through S215 (Untidy Sites) legislation and also emphasises the support for the 'Driving Devonshire Forward' policy document.

Table 11  
Enforcement Live Case for End of Q3 2014

	25
Devonshire	32
Hampden Park	8
Langney	11
Meads	18
Old Town	11
Ratton	12
Sovereign	12
St Anthony's	12
Upperton	14
Grand Total	155

Table 12  
Closed Cases for 2014 (246) Received (364)

Enforcement Cases Closed Q1 2014 (33) Received (107)

Enforcement Cases Closed Q2 2014 (38) Received (72)

Enforcement Cases Closed Q3 2014 (95) Received (92)

Enforcement Cases Closed Q4 2014 (87) Received (92)

It is clear from the above tables/information that the volume of cases **closed** has significantly increased in the second and third quarters of the calendar year 2014. This rate of closure reflects an upturn from approximately 10-15 cases per month (Q1&2) to approximately 25-30 cases per month (Q3&4).

It is important to note that the closure rate is now matching the volume of received cases and as such there should not be an expanding backlog of live cases. On this issue Members should note that the volume of cases on the over 6months old list has remained fairly static at 30 cases.

Table 13 Enforcement Closed Cases by Complaint Type for 2014

Complaint type code	Total
Breach in Planning Conditions	35
General enquiry	2
Land Detrimental to Amenity Area	40
Minor disrepair	23
Unauthorised Adverts	8
Unauthorised Change of use	27
Unauthorised Op Development (Build Wks)	82
Works in Conservation Area	10
Works to Listed Building	9
Works to Protected Tree	10
(blank)	
Grand Total	246

---

---

## 4 Human Resources

As members are aware the Customer First team within which the planning function rests, has undergone significant change during the last 2 years. However there has been a period of stability over this survey period with the roles/responsibilities of the Case Workers and Specialist Advisors becoming embedded.

The planning function now has a full compliment of staff with their knowledge base being supported by a comprehensive training/mentoring programme facilitated by internal and external trainers.

## 5 Legal

Save for the potential costs claim that could follow an appeal there are no other legal issues arising from this report.

## APPENDIX No 1

Planning Application Type/Ward for calendar year 2014 to date:- Appendix 1 Table 11 & Appendix Table 12. (Note these

### Appendix 1 Table 11

#### Calendar Year 2014 Applications Received

DV Devonshire	117
HP Hampden Park	60
LG Langney	36
MD Meads	247
OT Old Town	85
RN Ratton	110
SA St Anthonys	89
SV Sovereign	61
UP Upperton	138
(blank)	
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>943</b>

## Appendix1 Table 12.

### All Applications/by Type and Ward for the Calendar Year 2014

<b>ADV Advertisement</b>	<b>38</b>
DV Devonshire	9
HP Hampden Park	4
LG Langney	1
MD Meads	9
OT Old Town	1
RN Ratton	3
SA St Anthony's	9
SV Sovereign	1
UP Upperton	1
<b>APC Approval of Condition</b>	<b>50</b>
DV Devonshire	10
HP Hampden Park	3
LG Langney	2
MD Meads	11
OT Old Town	4
RN Ratton	4
SA St Anthony's	3
SV Sovereign	2
UP Upperton	11
(blank)	
<b>CAC Conservation Area Consent</b>	<b>1</b>
DV Devonshire	1
<b>CCC County Council</b>	<b>12</b>
LG Langney	4
OT Old Town	4
SA St Anthony's	4
<b>HHH Householder</b>	<b>245</b>
DV Devonshire	10
HP Hampden Park	18
LG Langney	9
MD Meads	27
OT Old Town	44
RN Ratton	50
SA St Anthony's	30
SV Sovereign	35
UP Upperton	22
<b>info@pjchaffin.com</b>	
(blank)	
<b>LBC Listed Building Consent</b>	<b>17</b>
DV Devonshire	6
MD Meads	8



RN Ratton	1
UP Upperton	2
(blank)	
<b>LDE LD Certificate (existing)</b>	<b>9</b>
DV Devonshire	1
MD Meads	2
RN Ratton	1
SA St Anthony's	2
UP Upperton	3
<b>LDP LD Certificate (proposed)</b>	<b>62</b>
DV Devonshire	5
HP Hampden Park	6
LG Langney	5
MD Meads	7
OT Old Town	11
RN Ratton	10
SA St Anthony's	10
SV Sovereign	4
UP Upperton	4
<b>NMC Non-Material Change</b>	<b>18</b>
DV Devonshire	2
HP Hampden Park	1
LG Langney	1
MD Meads	4
OT Old Town	2
RN Ratton	2
SA St Anthony's	1
SV Sovereign	1
UP Upperton	4
<b>OSR Outline (some reserved)</b>	<b>3</b>
DV Devonshire	2
UP Upperton	1
<b>PAP Prior Approval</b>	<b>35</b>
DV Devonshire	8
HP Hampden Park	3
LG Langney	5
OT Old Town	4
RN Ratton	5
SA St Anthony's	3
SV Sovereign	3
UP Upperton	4
<b>PCC Prior Notification Class CA</b>	<b>2</b>
HP Hampden Park	1
SV Sovereign	1
<b>PCI Prior Notification Class IA</b>	<b>3</b>
DV Devonshire	1
MD Meads	1

UP Upperton	1
<b>PCJ Prior Notification Class J</b>	<b>9</b>
DV Devonshire	1
HP Hampden Park	2
MD Meads	3
UP Upperton	3
<b>PNB Prior Notification (building)</b>	<b>4</b>
MD Meads	1
OT Old Town	1
RN Ratton	2
<b>PPP Planning Permission</b>	<b>236</b>
DV Devonshire	55
HP Hampden Park	13
LG Langney	4
MD Meads	73
OT Old Town	9
RN Ratton	6
SA St Anthony's	23
SV Sovereign	10
UP Upperton	43
(blank)	
<b>PRD Pre-application advice - Medium</b>	<b>1</b>
DV Devonshire	1
<b>PRH Pre-application Advice - Householder</b>	<b>1</b>
MD Meads	1
<b>RMT Reserved Matters</b>	<b>4</b>
DV Devonshire	1
RN Ratton	1
SV Sovereign	2
<b>TCA Tree Works - Conservation Area</b>	<b>60</b>
MD Meads	45
RN Ratton	2
UP Upperton	13
(blank)	
<b>TCN Tree Works - Covenant</b>	<b>5</b>
HP Hampden Park	1
RN Ratton	4
<b>TNC Tree Works - No control</b>	<b>5</b>
MD Meads	2
RN Ratton	2
UP Upperton	1
<b>TPC Tree Works - Planning Condition</b>	<b>37</b>
HP Hampden Park	6
LG Langney	4
MD Meads	9
RN Ratton	4
SA St Anthony's	3

SV	Sovereign	2
UP	Upperton	9
	(blank)	
<b>TTP Tree Works - Tree Preservation Orders</b>		<b>74</b>
DV	Devonshire	1
HP	Hampden Park	2
LG	Langney	1
MD	Meads	41
OT	Old Town	3
RN	Ratton	12
UP	Upperton	14
	(blank)	
<b>VCO Variation of Condition</b>		<b>12</b>
DV	Devonshire	3
MD	Meads	3
OT	Old Town	2
RN	Ratton	1
SA	St Anthony's	1
UP	Upperton	2
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>943</b>